

United States Patent and Trademark Office

een

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/070,867	03/12/2002	Maria Giuseppina Martini	IT 010006	2617
24737 75	737 7590 09/19/2006		EXAMINER	
PHILIPS INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY & STANDARDS P.O. BOX 3001 BRIARCLIFF MANOR, NY 10510			WILLIAMS, HOWARD L	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
BRIARCLIFF	WANCK, NT 10510		2819	
			DATE MAILED: 09/19/2006	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Office Action Summary		10/070,867	MARTINI ET AL.			
		Examiner	Art Unit			
		Howard L. Williams	2819			
	The MAILING DATE of this communication app		· ·			
Period fo	r Reply		·			
WHIC - Exter after - If NO - Failu Any r	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DUSTIONS of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. Period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period tere to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute eply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing and patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the cause the application to become ABANDONE	I. lety filed the mailing date of this communication. O (35 U.S.C. § 133)			
Status						
1)	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 30 Ju	une 2006				
	This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.					
3)	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Dispositi	on of Claims					
4)🖂	4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-13</u> is/are pending in the application.					
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.					
5)	5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.					
6)⊠	Claim(s) 1-13 is/are rejected.					
	Claim(s) is/are objected to.					
8)[Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	r election requirement.				
Applicati	on Papers					
9)[The specification is objected to by the Examine	P r .				
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).						
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.						
Priority u	nder 35 U.S.C. § 119					
12)⊠ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a)⊠ All b)□ Some * c)□ None of:						
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No						
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).						
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
			- '			
Attachment	He)					
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413)						
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Paper No(s)/Mail Date						
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application 6) Other:						

Application No.: 10/070,867 Your Reference: IT 010006

Art Unit: 2819

35 U.S.C. 101 reads as follows:

Whoever invents or discovers any new and useful process, machine, manufacture, or composition of matter, or any new and useful improvement thereof, may obtain a patent therefor, subject to the conditions and requirements of this title.

Claims 12 and 13 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 101 because the claimed invention is directed to non-statutory subject matter. These claims are seen as non-statutory because they recite the non-functional descriptive matter of the coded data stream, per se or stored on a storage medium, produced by the disclosed method of encoding. Stated another way these claims are to the result of the encoding method rather than the encoding method or process/program that produced them and do not impart functionality. Neither the recent addition of "computer-implemented" nor the previously recited "have been channel encoded seems sufficient to redeem these claims as they still are directed to non-functional result.

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

Claim 1, 2, 4-13 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as anticipated by Kikuchi et al. (US 6148028 A). Kikuchi et al. disclose in figure 3A the stream format for a data stream with unequal error protection for different layers (col. 38 lines 4-19) of the stream including a single length field that comprises the length of the respective layers "upper layer code amount" and "lower layer code amount" as seen in figure 3A. In figure 39 and its associated description the channel coder is described as performing the variable length encoding and error correction coding as well as the motion compensation and quantization. No particular order seems to be given to whether the length field from figure 3A represents the length before or after the error correction encoding so the assumption is made that length indication which is shown is entered before error correction coding (not shown in as its own block) or "channel encoding" (per the claim).

3

Your Reference: IT 010006

Art Unit: 2819

Claims 6-11 appear to be restatement of the basic UEP with the length field as a decoding method, decoder, transmitter, and receiver.

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claim 3 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Kikuchi et al. (US 6148028 A). The placement of the length information as representing the length before or after would seem to be a matter of programmer's choice

Any inquiry concerning this communication should be directed to Howard L. Williams at telephone number 571.272.1815. The Patent and Trademark Office central facsimile number for application specific correspondence intended for entry is 571-273-8300.

9/11/06

Voice: (571) 272-1815

Amad L. Williams Howard L. Williams Primary Examiner Art Unit 2819